Pain structuring in brain codes

I.K. Shekhovtsev
Department of Psychophysiology, State University-High School Economics, Moscow, eshekhovtsev@hse.ru

Summary

The electroencephalographic method is charged with developing practice parameters for experts for diagnostic procedures, care modalities, and former disorders. The selection of topics for which practice parameters are developed is based on prevalence, frequency of use, economic impact, membership involvement, controversy, urgency, external constraints, and resources required.

All adults have low back pain at some time during their lives. About 14% have an episode of back pain that persist longer than two weeks, but only 2% have back pain associated with spinal stenosis [1]. Self-esteems one of the basic diagnostic tool for pain evaluation [8]. As an excellent review by Kahneman points out, systematic efforts to organize the self-esteem of personality began shortly after Digman suggestion, although such efforts appear to be more surely linked to psychologists, than to Caettel suggested that a careful analysis of would assist the understanding of personality, and this stimulated John and Pollck to examine personality terms commonly found in the EEG. For the above-stated reasons, it would be of great importance to find a biological marker that could help psychologists in making a differential diagnosis and selecting performances [2, 4, 5, 9].

Method

The EEG and also the set of self-esteems reports were recorded simultaneously from 37 patients. The 47 various types of behavior reflected in the consequences of the personality (the will, the resoluteness, the perseverance, the politeness, the pain, etc.) according to scale from 0 to 5 evolve in relationship to the personal considerable body of 300 EEG brain codes extension were investigated under linear regression model with the use of a digital computer.

Results

A comparison between the physiological and the psychological regularities reveals the number of diagnostics equations with four arguments respectively.

One example are shown electrophysiological correlates (R=0.54) in which the EEG activity represented in low back pain (M54.5 in ICD-10). Modern mamagement of the low back pain (LBP) may have altered the syndrome itself. Proiferating diagnostic modalities, activities of nonmedical practitioners, and the growth of the disability compensation systems may have become determinants in the natural history of the condition. Three first predictors combined 92% of the diagnostic significance. First include 32% in configuration of a slow 5 Hz waves. This generalized theta excess has been reported to be accompanied by alpha [6]. Second and third related to the characteristics of the coherent oscillations complexes and covered 60% of diagnostics. And anticipated upon the assumption that cognitive space is coded by groups of cyclically recurrent neural impulses which are generated by coherent discharges of central neurons. Chains of these code elements are proposed to form code words. It is asserted that code words are generated by ensembles of neurons located in different brain structures and that they can recur cyclically with oscillations equal to alpha [7]. Four predictor under diagnostics weight 8% associated with beta 17 Hz oscillations in left central brain area.

It is clear that biological markers related identification between individuals. Some comparisons with predictors of preferences indicated that pain manifistation seem to be strongly associated with the condition work hard in business.

Discussion

Investigators who rely on self-report data in health surveys, epidemiologic studies, and clinical trials assume that the respondent understands the questions and terminology in the same way that the investigator does, accurately recalls information, and accurately formulates answers. These assumptions may or may not be accurate or reasonable []. These questions place a large cognitive burden on the ability of respondents to understand what is being asked and to report events from their lives, based on a system of memory [3]. A number of variables affect the ability of respondents/patients to accurately report information. Some of these variables include instructions, respondent-selected response strategies, the period of time that the information has been held in memory, the period of time to which the question refers, the format of the response alternatives, the order of the questions, and the affect of the respondent. For reviews of this literature, see [10]. The pain remains should not to be determined at improve to cognitive space with respect to all others prefrences like endurance; frankness, truthfulness; diligence; courage; accuracy; friendliness; etc. Pavlov says about choosing best experimental constructs only if they developed by life.

Conclusion

The different meanings reached by studies investigating the utility of EEG prevent a confident conclusion regarding utility. Some investigators are strong believers in the diagnostic usefulness of this technique. Others are more skeptical. One possible cause is variable study design. Most studies provide evidence no higher than expert opinions or case report. Controlled studies, especially with blinded interpreters of the tests, would provide needed evidence of efficacy in view of the existing confusion in the literature. It is our consensus that the current evidence supporting the diagnostic use of EEG is primary contact tool and that EEG should be regarded as satisfactory, meaning that current evidence is insufficient to determine appropriateness. This group does encourage further study. In this paper, we summarize the major findings of neurobiology studies on self-esteems, highlighting convergent points of view about physiological substrates. Consistent with these investigations, we describe our results using the multiple linear regression method in an exploratory fashion in the brain codes defined subtypes of satisfaction oneself obtained with EEG analysis. We also examine the diagnostic role of these techniques in the evaluation others self-concepts. According to our findings, we propose a brain code model for prognostics that substantially involves the behavior pathways.

References

1. Deyo R.A. Early diagnostic evaluation of low back pain. (1986) J Gen Intern Med **1**: 328-338
2. Digman J.M., (1990) Personality structure: emergence of the five-factor model. Annu. Rev. Psychol. **41**, 417-440
3. Jobe J.B.Cognitive psychology and self-reports: Models and methods. (2003) Quality of Life Research **12**: 219–227.
4. John E.R., Prichep L.S., Friedman J., Easton P. (1988). Neurometrics: computer-assisted differential diagnosis of brain dysfunctions. Science **293**,162-169.
5. Kahneman D., Krueger A.B., Schkade D., et al. (2006) Would You Be Happier If You Were Richer? A Focusing Illusion. Science **312,** 1908-1910
6. Klimesch W., (1999) EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: a review and analysis. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev. **29**, 169-195
7. Lebedev A.N., (2001) The oscillatory mechanisms of memory. Cognitive Processing **2,** 57-66
8. Nabe-Nielsen K, Fallentin N, Christensen KB, Jensen JN, Diderichsen F.Comparison of two self-reported measures of physical work demands in hospital personnel: a cross-sectional study.(2008) BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008 Apr **29**;9:61.
9. Pollock V.E., Schneider L.S. (1990). Quantitative, waking EEG research on depression. Biol Psychiatry **27**, 757-780.
10. Tourangeau R, Rips LJ, Rasinski K. The Psychology of Survey Response. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000.